Antriksh Cloud Private Limited

Building India's Foundation for the AI Revolution

Investment Growth Metrics

Company	Market Posi- tion	Key Partner- ships/Clients	Exit Outlook	Key Risks / Notes
CoreWeave	Leading spe- cialized cloud provider for AI	Nvidia; Mi- crosoft; Cisco (strategic in- vestor)	IPO likely in 2025; potential for multi-\$10B exit	Sustaining rapid growth; manag- ing high debt load
Lambda Labs	Leading indepen- dent GPU cloud provider	Nvidia; en- terprise and academic clients (Apple, MIT)	IPO within 1–3 years or strategic acquisition	Competitive GPU cloud mar- ket; balancing hardware and cloud operations
Crusoe Energy	Hybrid clean- tech & AI com- pute provider; ESG-aligned	Databricks, Sony, Together AI; energy sector partners	IPO (possibly \$5–7B range) or strategic acquisi- tion	Volatility due to crypto cycles; ex- ecution risk in pivoting to AI cloud
FluidStack	Capital-efficient, dual-model GPU cloud provider	Serves marquee AI startups (e.g., Character.AI, Mistral AI)	Potential IPO or attractive acqui- sition; may raise Series A/B later	Sustaining growth against better-funded competitors; niche market dynamics
Paperspace	Niche player in GPU cloud for SMBs and indi- vidual ML devel- opers	Users of Gra- dient platform; smaller enter- prise segments	Already acquired by DigitalOcean (\$111M exit)	Limited scale; struggles com- peting with larger clouds
Rescale	Leader in cloud HPC orchestra- tion (enterprise focus)	400+ enterprise customers; in- tegrations with AWS, Azure, Google Cloud	Likely IPO or strategic acqui- sition once scale increases	Long sales cycles; steady but slower growth
Together AI	Emerging open- source AI model deployment plat- form	Integrated with LangChain; backed by Nvidia, Sales- force, Kleiner Perkins	Potential IPO or strategic ac- quisition; next round (Series B) expected	High valuation on a short op- erating history; execution risk
Scale AI	Market leader in AI data labeling and broader data platforms	Major clients (OpenAI, U.S. government, self- driving firms); strategic in- vestors (Nvidia, Meta, Amazon)	Highly likely IPO in the near future; potential public valuation in tens of billions	Competition in data services; scaling opera- tionally while managing costs

|--|

Company	Seed Investor Multiple	Series A ROI	Series B / Later Rounds ROI	Overall IRR / Notes
CoreWeave	$\left \begin{array}{c} \sim 1,000 \times + \\ (\text{paper;} & \text{seed} \\ \sim 1150 \times) \end{array} \right $	\sim 700 × (Series A)	Series B: $\sim 10 \times$ in under 2 years; Series C: $\sim 20\%$ gain	Early-stage IRRs estimated >200% p.a.; explosive pa- per returns (pre-exit)
Lambda Labs	$\sim 100 \times$ (seed investors)	$\sim 25 \times$ returns (Series A)	Series B: $\sim 5-6\times$ uplift in 12 months	Triple-digit IRRs; timing was critical for achieving high multiples
Crusoe	\sim 50–80 × (seed/Series A)	Not separately detailed	Series B: $\sim 3-6 \times$; Series C: $\sim 1.6 \times$ gain	Early investors saw high multi- ples; volatility due to crypto cycles
FluidStack	$\begin{array}{l} +100 \times \text{ (seed-}\\ \text{only; potential}\\ \sim 100 \times + \text{ over-}\\ \text{all} \text{)} \end{array}$	N/A (no follow- on rounds)	N/A (single round only)	IRRs estimated at 150–200% per year; minimal di- lution
Paperspace	$\sim 5-6 \times$ (raw, though diluted)	$\sim 1.8 \times$ (Series A)	Later rounds roughly break-even	Overall multiple $\sim 3.2 \times$; IRRs in single digits ($\sim 20\%$ or lower)
Rescale	$\sim 80 \times$ at a \$1B peak (or 60–70× at Series D)	$\sim 20 \times$ (Series A over ~ 5 years)	Series B: $\sim 5 \times$ (trimmed to 3– $4 \times$ with Series D)	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Together AI	$\sim 12 \times$ (seed gain in <1 year)	$2 \times \text{gain}$ (Series A from ~ $\$625M$ to ~ $\$1.25B$)	Series A2: Gains pending (joined at $\sim \$1.25B$)	Early-stage IRRs extremely high (triple-digit an- nualized), albeit on paper
Scale AI	$+1,000 \times$ (seed investors)	Series B: e.g., \sim $10M$ check \rightarrow \sim 138×	Series C: $\sim 14 \times$; Series D: $\sim 4 \times$; Series E: $\sim 1.9 \times$	Early rounds delivered astro- nomical returns; overall, a stand- out VC success

Table 2: Investor ROI & Stage Multiples

Company	Latest Revenue / ARR	Revenue Growth / Key Trends	Implied Rev- enue Multiple	Profitability / EBITDA	Additional Metrics
CoreWeave	\sim 500 M (2023); \sim 2 B in contracts for 2024		$ \sim 38 \times \text{ (e.g.,} $ \$19 B Series C vs. ~ 500 M revenue)	Gross margins attractive; net profitability likely negative	Rapid scaling; heavy capital investment via debt
Lambda Labs	~ 250 M (2023); ~ 425 M expected in 2024 ARR	Revenue jumped from ~ 20 M (2022) to ~ 250 M (2023); $\sim 70\%$ YoY growth	\sim 3.5× at \$1.5 B valuation	Mixed margins (lower for hard- ware, higher for cloud)	Transitioned from hardware to cloud; strong operating mo- mentum
Crusoe Energy	~ 150 M (2023); ~ 276 M estimated for 2024	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\sim 10.2 \times$ at \$2.8 B valuation	Likely reinvesting earnings; im- proved margins due to low energy costs	Hybrid model combining crypto mining and AI cloud computing
FluidStack	\sim 23 M (2023); \sim 180 M ARR (2024)	Explosive growth: $\sim 678\%$ YoY growth (2024)	$\sim~5.5\times$ if valued at \$1 B on \sim 180 M ARR	Likely EBITDA- positive / break- even (capital- efficient)	Dual business model (market- place + private cloud); very low external capital
Paperspace	$\sim 10 - \sim 15 M$ (2022); $\sim 20 M$ (2023)	Steady but mod- est growth	$\sim~5-\sim~6\times$ revenue multiple	Slim margins; capital intensive	Niche GPU cloud provider; ac- quired for \$111 M
Rescale	\sim 50– \sim 70 M estimated (2024)	Steady, enterprise- focused growth; long sales cycles	\sim 10- \sim 15× at Series C; now \sim 8- \sim 12× at Series D	Not yet prof- itable; asset-light SaaS model	Serves 400+ enterprise cus- tomers; gradual "marathon" growth
Together AI	\sim 130 M ARR (2024)	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	\sim 9.6 \times (from \$1.25 B valuation vs. \sim 130 M ARR)	Not profitable; high R&D and customer acquisi- tion spend	Young, hyper- growth startup; aggressive valu- ation based on future potential
Scale AI	$\sim 760 {\rm ~M}$ (2023)	Explosive revenue growth from \sim 100 M (2019) to \sim 760 M (2023)	\sim 18× (at \$13.8 B valuation vs. \sim 760 M revenue)	Improving gross margins; heavy R&D & expan- sion expenses	Market leader in AI data; diver- sified services; rapid scaling

Table 3: Financial Metrics Comparison

Company	Latest Valu- ation	Latest Rev- enue/ARR	Implied Rev- enue Multiple	Total Equity Funding
CoreWeave	\$23B	\$500M (2023)	$\sim 38 \times$	Multiple rounds; heavy debt
Lambda	\$1.5B	\$250M (2023)	$\sim 3.5 \times$	Approximately \$453M
Crusoe	\$2.8B	\$276M (2024 est.)	$\sim 10.2 \times$	Over \$1.1B + \$200M project financing
FluidStack	\$1B+	\$180M ARR (2024)	$\sim 5.5 \times$	Approximately\$3-\$4.5M (seed only)
Paperspace	\$100-\$200M pre-exit; Ac- quired for \$111M	\$10-\$15M (2022); \$20M (2023)	~5-6×	Approximately \$35M
Rescale	\$800M	\$50-\$70M (2024 est.)	\sim 8–12×	Approximately \$250- \$300M
Together AI	\$1.25B	\$130M ARR (2024)	$\sim 9.6 \times$	Approximately \$228M
Scale AI	\$13.8B	\$760M (2023)	$\sim 18 \times$	Approximately \$1.6B

Some Figures



Figure 1: The Nvidia Instrument



Figure 2: OpenAI Annual Recurring Revenue (ARR)



Figure 3: CoreWeave Revenue Breakdown



Figure 4: CoreWeave Revenue and Growth



Figure 5: FluidStack Annual Recurring Revenue (ARR)



Figure 6: Scale AI Annual Recurring Revenue (ARR)



H100 GPU Pricing Comparison (\$/hour)

Figure 7: Additional Market Data









Figure 9: Industry Financial Trends



Figure 10: Market Growth Projection